

A Gauge-Invariant Color Charge in QCD

Kurt Haller¹

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut
Storrs, Connecticut 06269

Abstract

A gauge-invariant color-charge operator is defined and related to an integral of the gauge-invariant chromoelectric field over a closed surface. We discuss the case of a surface all of whose points are a macroscopic distance from a system of quarks and gluons which it entirely surrounds. When this system of quarks and gluons forms a hadron or an object composed of hadrons, such as a nucleus, it is argued that the gauge-invariant color charge enclosed within this surface must vanish and the system of hadrons in the interior of the surface must be a color singlet.

In QCD, we encounter the quark color charge density $j_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = g\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\psi(\mathbf{r})$ and the total color charge density $J_0^a(\mathbf{r}) + j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$, which includes the gluon color charge density which, in the temporal ($A_0^a = 0$) gauge, which we choose for this work, is $J_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r})$, where $\Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r})$ is the negative chromoelectric field as well as the momentum canonically conjugate to $A_i^c(\mathbf{r})$.² The commutation rules that apply to these fields are

$$[A_i^a(\mathbf{x}), \Pi_j^b(\mathbf{y})] = i\delta_{ab}\delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}); \quad (1)$$

$j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ is not part of a conserved current. It obeys the equation $D_0 j_0^a + D_i j_i^a = 0$ where $D_0 j_0^a = \partial_0 j_0^a$ and $D_i j_i^a = (\partial_i \delta_{ab} + g f^{abc} A_i^c) j_i^b$, with $j_i^a = g\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\alpha_i\psi(\mathbf{r})$; $j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ also is not gauge invariant — it transforms like a vector in the adjoint representation of SU(3). In contrast, $J_0^a(\mathbf{r}) + j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ is a component of a conserved current. Together with $J_i^a + j_i^a$, where $J_i^a = g f^{abc} A_j^b F_{ij}^c$ and $F_{ij}^a = \partial_j A_i^a - \partial_i A_j^a - g f^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c$, it forms the conserved current $\partial_0(J_0^a + j_0^a) + \partial_i(J_i^a + j_i^a) = 0$. However, it is easily seen that the color charge $Q^a = \int d\mathbf{r} \{J_0^a(\mathbf{r}) + j_0^a(\mathbf{r})\}$ also is not gauge invariant. Since physical quantities must be gauge-invariant, it would be desirable to have a gauge-invariant color charge available in QCD. In this paper, we will propose such a charge.

Elsewhere, we have constructed gauge-invariant operator-valued quark and gluon fields;^[1] these include the gauge-invariant quark field

$$\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r}) = V_C(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\text{GI}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2)$$

¹E-mail: khaller@uconnvm.uconn.edu

²In this work we use nonrelativistic notation, in which all space-time indices are subscripted and designate contravariant components of contravariant quantities such as A_i^a or j_i^a , and covariant components of covariant quantities such as ∂_i .

where

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}) = \exp \left(-ig \overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \exp \left(-ig \mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) , \quad (3)$$

and

$$V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) = \exp \left(ig \mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \exp \left(ig \overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) . \quad (4)$$

In these expressions $\mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \equiv [\frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} A_i^\alpha(\mathbf{r})]$, so that $\partial_i \mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ is the i -th component of the longitudinal gauge field; and $\overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \overline{\mathcal{A}_j^\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$. $\overline{\mathcal{A}_j^\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$, which we refer to as the “resolvent field”, is an operator-valued functional of the gauge field, and is represented in Refs. [1] and [2] as the solution of an integral equation that relates operator-valued quantities. Constructing a gauge-invariant quark field by attaching $V_C(\mathbf{r})$ to the quark field ψ represents an extension, into the non-Abelian domain, of a method of creating gauge-invariant charged fields originated by Dirac for QED; [3] and, like Dirac’s procedure, this non-Abelian construction is free of path-dependent integrals. We have confirmed that $\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r})$ is invariant to a non-Abelian gauge transformation, by showing that for a gauge transformation under which

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \psi'(\mathbf{r}) = \exp \left(i\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad (5)$$

and

$$A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} \rightarrow \exp \left(i\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \left(A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} + \frac{i}{g} \partial_i \right) \exp \left(-i\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) , \quad (6)$$

$V_C(\mathbf{r})$ also gauge-transforms as

$$V_C(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow V_C(\mathbf{r}) \exp \left(-i\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \exp \left(i\omega^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2} \right) V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (7)$$

so that $\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r}) = V_C(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$ remains gauge-invariant. The resolvent field also has an important role in the gauge-invariant gauge field,

$$A_{\text{GI}i}(\mathbf{r}) = [A_{\text{GI}i}^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2}] = V_C(\mathbf{r}) [A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2}] V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{i}{g} V_C(\mathbf{r}) \partial_i V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (8)$$

which can be shown to be [1]

$$A_{\text{GI}i}^b(\mathbf{r}) = A_{Ti}^b(\mathbf{r}) + [\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\partial^2}] \overline{\mathcal{A}_j^b}(\mathbf{r}) . \quad (9)$$

We have also defined a gauge-invariant negative chromoelectric field, [4, 5] by using earlier results to observe from Eq. (8) that, since in the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$,

$$A_{\text{GI}0} = -\frac{i}{g} V_C \partial_0 V_C^{-1} . \quad (10)$$

We recall an operator-order we have previously used, [1] and impose it on the definition of a gauge-invariant momentum (and negative gauge-invariant chromoelectric field)

$$\Pi_{\text{GI}i} = -E_{\text{GI}i} = ||\partial_i A_{\text{GI}0} + \partial_0 A_{\text{GI}i} - ig [A_{\text{GI}i}, A_{\text{GI}0}]|| , \quad (11)$$

where, using a notation introduced in Ref.[1], bracketing between double bars denotes a normal order in which all gauge fields and functionals of gauge fields appear to the left

of all momenta conjugate to gauge fields, but where that order is imposed only after all indicated commutators have been evaluated (including the commutator implied by the derivatives ∂_0 and ∂_i). From

$$\|\partial_0 A_{\text{GI}i}\| = \|[V_C A_i V_C^{-1}, V_C \partial_0 V_C^{-1}] + V_C \partial_0 A_i V_C^{-1} + \frac{i}{g} (\partial_0 V_C \partial_i V_C^{-1} + V_C \partial_0 \partial_i V_C^{-1})\| \quad (12)$$

and

$$\|\partial_i A_{\text{GI}0}\| = -\|\frac{i}{g} (\partial_i V_C \partial_0 V_C^{-1} + V_C \partial_0 \partial_i V_C^{-1})\|, \quad (13)$$

combined with

$$-ig[A_{\text{GI}i}, A_{\text{GI}0}] = -[V_C A_i V_C^{-1}, V_C \partial_0 V_C^{-1}] - \frac{i}{g} [\partial_0 V_C, \partial_i V_C^{-1}], \quad (14)$$

we find that

$$\Pi_{\text{GI}i} = \|V_C \partial_0 A_i V_C^{-1}\| = \|V_C \Pi_i V_C^{-1}\| = V_C \frac{\lambda^b}{2} V_C^{-1} \Pi_i^b. \quad (15)$$

By defining

$$\mathcal{R}_{db} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\lambda^d V_C \lambda^b V_C^{-1}], \quad (16)$$

we can express Eq. (15) in the equivalent form

$$\Pi_{\text{GI}i}^d = \mathcal{R}_{db} \Pi_i^b. \quad (17)$$

It is similarly possible to show that

$$j_{\text{GI}0}^d = g\psi^\dagger V_C^{-1} \frac{\lambda^d}{2} V_C \psi = R_{db} j_0^b, \quad (18)$$

and

$$A_{\text{GI}i}^d = \mathcal{R}_{db} A_i^b - \frac{1}{g} P_{di} \quad (19)$$

where $P_{di} = -i \text{Tr}[\lambda^d V_C \partial_i V_C^{-1}]$. The fields, $A_{\text{GI}i}^d$, $\Pi_{\text{GI}i}^d$ and $\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r})$ are gauge-invariant, even though they carry color indices. All of them commute with the so-called ‘‘Gauss’s law operator’’, $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \partial_i \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r}) + j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$.

We propose the following as the gauge-invariant color charge operator;

$$\mathcal{Q}^a = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ g f^{abc} A_{\text{GI}i}^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_{\text{GI}i}^c(\mathbf{r}) + j_{\text{GI}0}^a(\mathbf{r}) \right\}. \quad (20)$$

By making the appropriate substitutions, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}^a = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ f^{abc} (g R_{bp} R_{cq} A_i^p \Pi_i^q - P_{bi} R_{cq} \Pi_i^q) + R_{ab} j_0^b \right\}. \quad (21)$$

From the identity

$$f^{abc} (\mathbb{T}^b)_{ij} (\mathbb{T}^c)_{kp} = \frac{i}{2} (\delta_{jk} (\mathbb{T}^a)_{ip} - \delta_{ip} (\mathbb{T}^a)_{kj}), \quad (22)$$

where T^a is a generator in a fundamental representation of an $SU(N)$ group, we obtain

$$f^{abc}R_{bp}R_{cq} = \frac{i}{4}\text{Tr}\left\{\lambda^a V_C [\lambda^q, \lambda^p] V_C^{-1}\right\} = f^{dpq}R_{ad} \quad (23)$$

and

$$f^{abc}P_{bi}R_{cq} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\left\{V_C\lambda^c\partial_i V_C^{-1}\lambda^a + \partial_i V_C\lambda^c V_C^{-1}\lambda^a\right\} = \partial_i R_{aq}. \quad (24)$$

With these identities, Eq. (21) becomes

$$\mathcal{Q}^a = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ R_{ab} \left[g f^{bpq} A_i^p \Pi_i^q + j_0^b \right] - (\partial_i R_{ab}) \Pi_i^b \right\} \quad (25)$$

which can also be expressed as

$$\mathcal{Q}^a = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ R_{ab} \left[\partial_i \Pi_i^b + g f^{bpq} A_i^p \Pi_i^q + j_0^b \right] - \partial_i (R_{ab} \Pi_i^b) \right\}. \quad (26)$$

When Eq. (26) is applied to a system consisting of quarks and gluons, which we represent as the state $|\Psi\rangle$, $\left[\partial_i \Pi_i^b + g f^{bpq} A_i^p \Pi_i^q + j_0^b \right] |\Psi\rangle = 0$ must hold because it is required for the implementation of Gauss's law or, equivalently, for the gauge invariance of $|\Psi\rangle$. This leads to

$$\mathcal{Q}^a \approx - \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \partial_i (R_{ab} \Pi_i^b) \right\} \approx - \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \partial_i \Pi_{\text{GI}i}^a \right\} \quad (27)$$

where $\approx$ indicates a “soft” equality — *i. e.* one that is only valid when the operators act on a state $|\Psi\rangle$. Eq. (27) can be transformed into

$$\left(\mathcal{Q}^a + \int d\mathcal{S} \{ n_i \Pi_{\text{GI}i}^a \} \right) |\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad (28)$$

where $\int d\mathcal{S}$ represents integration over a surface enclosing the system of quarks and gluons represented by $|\Psi\rangle$, n_i designates a unit outward normal to that surface, and the volume over which $\mathcal{Q}^a$ is integrated extends to the surface over which the surface integral is evaluated.

It is instructive to compare Eq. (28) to the corresponding equation for QED. We find that this latter equation, for the electric charge, is

$$Q = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ [\partial_i \Pi_i + j_0] - \partial_i \Pi_i \right\} \quad \text{where} \quad j_0 = e\psi^\dagger\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_i = -E_i, \quad (29)$$

which leads to

$$\left(Q + \int d\mathcal{S} \{ n_i \Pi_i \} \right) |\Psi_{QED}\rangle = 0, \quad (30)$$

since $(\partial_i \Pi_i + j_0)|\Psi_{QED}\rangle = 0$ expresses the Abelian Gauss's law and the requirement of gauge invariance that apply in this case. In QED, Eq. (30) is the statement of the well-known result that the surface integral of the electric field $E_i = -\Pi_i$ over a closed surface is equal to the electric charge in the enclosed volume. If every point on the surface surrounding the charge is far enough from it — a macroscopic distance, for example, from

a charged particle or an ion — the surface integral of Π_i will not be affected by quantum fluctuations of the charge density, and the classical result will be obtained. It might seem possible to make a similar argument about QCD, based on the equation

$$Q^a = \int d\mathbf{r} \{ g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r}) + j_0^a(\mathbf{r}) \} \quad (31)$$

from which we obtain

$$\left(Q^a + \int d\mathcal{S} \{ n_i \Pi_i^a \} \right) |\Psi\rangle = 0. \quad (32)$$

However, Eq. (32) does not permit us to draw firm conclusions about Q^a . Π_i^a and Q^a are gauge-dependent, and therefore cannot refer to physical quantities. A finite SU(3) gauge transformation is complicated to represent, but for the SU(2) case, the effect of a gauge transformation on Π_i^a by a finite gauge function $\omega^a(\mathbf{r})$ is

$$(\Pi_i^a)' = \Pi_i^a - \epsilon^{abc} \omega^b \Pi_i^c \frac{\sin(|\omega|)}{|\omega|} - \epsilon^{a q d} \epsilon^{b c q} \omega^b \omega^d \Pi_i^c \frac{1 - \cos(|\omega|)}{|\omega|^2}. \quad (33)$$

The surface integral given in Eq. (32) is subject to arbitrary and potentially sizable changes when a gauge transformation is made, and no reliable inference about an observable color charge can be based on this equation.

With Eqs. (26) - (28), we have provided the same kind of relation between color charges and the chromoelectric field that Eqs. (29) and (30) provide about the electric charge and the electric field in QED — relations between gauge-invariant charge operators and integrals over gauge-invariant fields. We are therefore in a position to make an argument similar to the one applied to QED: that the chromoelectric field (like the electric field, in QED) on a surface surrounding a system of quarks and gluons, every point of which is far removed from the quarks and/or gluons it contains, is no longer subject to quantum fluctuations in its remote interior. It is, in fact, possible to draw an even stronger conclusion about the gauge-invariant color charge than about the electric charge. Since — in contrast to the electric field — we can exclude the possibility, on physical grounds, that the chromoelectric field extends over macroscopic distances from the hadrons or ensembles of hadrons that give rise to it, we can make the following argument: For a closed surface that surrounds a hadron or a system of hadrons such as a nucleus, all of whose points $\mathbf{r}_s$ are at a macroscopic distance from the hadrons in its interior, $\Pi_{\mathbf{G}i}^a(\mathbf{r}_s) |\Psi_h\rangle = 0$, where $|\Psi_h\rangle = 0$ represents a hadron or a system of hadrons in the interior of the closed surface. For such a surface surrounding this system of hadrons, the surface integral

$$\int d\mathcal{S} \{ n_i \Pi_{\mathbf{G}i}^a(\mathbf{r}_s) \} |\Psi_h\rangle = 0. \quad (34)$$

Therefore, the total gauge-invariant color charge of the enclosed hadron or groups of hadrons must be given by

$$\mathcal{Q}^a |\Psi_h\rangle = 0. \quad (35)$$

We can also use Eq. (26) to represent the commutator of two components of the gauge-invariant color charge operator as

$$[\mathcal{Q}^a, \mathcal{Q}^b] = \left[\left(\int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^a(\mathbf{x}) - \int d\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}} \{n_i \Pi_{\text{GI } i}^a(\mathbf{x})\} \right), \left(\int d\mathbf{y} \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^b(\mathbf{y}) - \int d\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{y}} \{n_j \Pi_{\text{GI } j}^b(\mathbf{y})\} \right) \right] \quad (36)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^a = \partial_i \Pi_{\text{GI } i}^a + g f^{apq} A_{\text{GI } i}^p \Pi_{\text{GI } i}^q + j_{\text{GI } 0}^a = R_{ab} \left(\partial_i \Pi_i^b + g f^{bpq} A_i^p \Pi_i^q + j_0^b \right). \quad (37)$$

Since it has been shown that [5]

$$[\mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^a(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^b(\mathbf{y})] = -i g f^{abc} \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^c(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (38)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{Q}^a, \mathcal{Q}^b] &= -i f^{abc} \mathcal{Q}^c + \left[\int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^a(\mathbf{x}), \int d\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{y}} \{n_j \Pi_{\text{GI } j}^b(\mathbf{y})\} \right] - \left[\int d\mathbf{y} \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^b(\mathbf{y}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int d\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}} \{n_i \Pi_{\text{GI } i}^a(\mathbf{x})\} \right] + \left[\int d\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}} \{n_i \Pi_{\text{GI } i}^a(\mathbf{x})\}, \int d\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{y}} \{n_j \Pi_{\text{GI } j}^b(\mathbf{y})\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

In applying Eq. (39) to a hadron, the following remarks are germane: the surface surrounding a hadron can be chosen with every point at a macroscopic distance from that hadron. In that case, the heuristic assumption we have already made — that the chromoelectric field at that surface vanishes — leaves the commutator as

$$[\mathcal{Q}^a, \mathcal{Q}^b] \approx -i f^{abc} \mathcal{Q}^c, \quad (40)$$

where $\approx$ signifies that the equality only applies to the case in which the operators act on a state $|\Psi_h\rangle$. Eq. (40) is obviously consistent with $\mathcal{Q}^a |\Psi_h\rangle = 0$ for all values of a . Furthermore, the time-derivative of $\mathcal{Q}^a$ can be represented as $i[\hat{H}_{\text{GI}}, \mathcal{Q}^a]$ where $\hat{H}_{\text{GI}}$ is the QCD Hamiltonian represented in terms of gauge-invariant fields. [5] From Eq. (26) and from a result obtained in Ref. [5],

$$[\hat{H}_{\text{GI}}, \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^a(\mathbf{x})] = \int d\mathbf{r} \chi^{ac}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}) \mathcal{G}_{\text{GI}}^c(\mathbf{r}) \quad (41)$$

where $\chi^{ac}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x})$ is a nonlocal functional of gauge-invariant fields, we obtain

$$i [\hat{H}_{\text{GI}}, \mathcal{Q}^a] |\Psi_h\rangle = i \left[\hat{H}_{\text{GI}}, \int d\mathcal{S} \{n_i \Pi_{\text{GI } i}^a\} \right] |\Psi_h\rangle = 0, \quad (42)$$

so that the gauge-invariant color charge of a hadron or system of hadrons is time-independent.

A state vector $|\Psi\rangle$ for which $\mathcal{Q}^a |\Psi\rangle = 0$ for all a has to be a color singlet. The preceding arguments, based partly on formal properties of gauge-invariant operator-valued fields

and additional physical considerations, lead us to the following conclusion: A hadron, or an ensemble of hadrons contained in a nucleus or occupying some other well-defined microscopic region of space, has to be a color singlet. An isolated quark or object composed of quarks and/or gluons, that is so far removed from other quarks and gluons that a surface can be drawn with every point a macroscopic distance from it, without enclosing any further gluons or quarks other than that isolated object in its remote interior, can have a nonvanishing gauge-invariant color charge only if it gives rise to a gauge-invariant chromoelectric field that persists up to macroscopic distances from that object. The fact that long-range chromoelectric fields are not observed, accounts for the fact that ensembles of quarks and gluons that are not color singlets cannot exist as isolated states

This research was supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-92ER40716.00.

References

- [1] L. Chen, M. Belloni and K. Haller, Phys. Rev. **D 55** (1997) 2347.
- [2] K. Haller, L. Chen and Y. S. Choi, Phys. Rev. **D 60** (1999) 125010.
- [3] P. A. M. Dirac, Canad. J. Phys. **33**, 650 (1955).
- [4] M. Belloni, L. Chen and K. Haller, Phys. Lett. **B 403** (1997) 316.
- [5] K. Haller, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A16** (2001) 2789.